



Homeland Defense & Security
Information Analysis Center



HDIAC TECHNICAL INQUIRY (TI) RESPONSE REPORT

Transfer Rate of DNA From Biological Fluid Stains Between Fabrics

Report Number:

HDIAC-BCO-2024-530

March 2025

HDIAC is a U.S. Department of Defense
Information Analysis Center

MAIN OFFICE

4695 Millennium Drive
Belcamp, MD 21017-1505
Office: 443-360-4600

REPORT PREPARED BY:

Holly Holt
Office: Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU)

Information contained in this report does not
constitute endorsement by the U.S. Department of
Defense of any nonfederal entity or technology
sponsored by a nonfederal entity.

HDIAC is sponsored by the Defense Technical
Information Center, with policy oversight provided by
the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for
Research and Engineering. HDIAC is operated by
the SURVICE Engineering Company.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 01-03-2025		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Research Report		3. DATES COVERED (From – To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Transfer Rate of DNA From Biological Fluid Stains Between Fabrics				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER FA8075-21-D-0001	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Holly Holt				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Homeland Defense & Security Information Analysis Center (HDIAC) SURVICE Engineering Company 4695 Millennium Drive Belcamp, MD 21017-1505				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER HDIAC-BCO-2024-530	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) 8725 John J. Kingman Road Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution A. Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Biomedical: Environmental Toxicology and Chemical Countermeasures; Medical					
14. ABSTRACT This preliminary, rapid literature scan of the transfer rate of DNA from biological fluids between pieces of fabric involved searching relevant publications from 2007 to 2024. The research topic is challenged by the number of variables that could impact the transfer rate of DNA (individual shedder attributes, biological fluid type, dryness of the fluid, fabric composition/porosity, transfer method/friction/pressure, time, environmental conditions, sampling and detection techniques, etc.). Findings indicate a lack of systematic research. This report highlights several studies of interest and points to experts in the field that could provide more information.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS DNA transfer rate, biological fluids, fabrics, environmental conditions					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: U			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 14	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Ted Welsh, HDIAC Director
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 443-360-4600

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Distribution A. Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited.

About

DTIC and HDIAC

The Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) preserves, curates, and shares knowledge from the U.S. Department of Defense's (DoD's) annual multibillion-dollar investment in science and technology, multiplying the value and accelerating capability to the Warfighter. DTIC amplifies this investment by collecting information and enhancing the digital search, analysis, and collaboration tools that make information widely available to decision-makers, researchers, engineers, and scientists across the Department.

DTIC sponsors the DoD Information Analysis Centers (DoDIAC), which provide critical, flexible, and cutting-edge research and analysis to produce relevant and reusable scientific and technical information for acquisition program managers, DoD laboratories, Program Executive Offices, and Combatant Commands. The IACs are staffed by, or have access to, hundreds of scientists, engineers, and information specialists who provide research and analysis to customers with diverse, complex, and challenging requirements.

The Homeland Defense & Security Information Analysis Center (HDIAC) is a DoDIAC sponsored by DTIC to provide expertise in eight technical focus areas: alternative energy; biometrics; chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) defense; critical infrastructure protection; cultural studies; homeland defense & security; medical; and weapons of mass destruction. HDIAC is operated by SURVICE Engineering Company under contract FA8075-21-D-0001.

TI Research

A chief service of the U.S. Department of Defense's Information Analysis Centers is free technical inquiry (TI) research limited to four research hours per inquiry. This TI response report summarizes the research findings of one such inquiry. Given the limited duration of the research effort, this report is not intended to be a deep, comprehensive analysis but rather a curated compilation of relevant information to give the reader/inquirer a "head start" or direction for continued research.

Abstract

This preliminary, rapid literature scan of the transfer rate of DNA from biological fluids between pieces of fabric involved searching relevant publications from 2007 to 2024. The research topic is challenged by the number of variables that could impact the transfer rate of DNA (individual shedder attributes, biological fluid type, dryness of the fluid, fabric composition/porosity, transfer method/friction/pressure, time, environmental conditions, sampling and detection techniques, etc.). Findings indicate a lack of systematic research. This report highlights several studies of interest and points to experts in the field that could provide more information.

Contents

About..... **i**

Abstract **ii**

1.0 TI Request **1**

 1.1 Inquiry.....1

 1.2 Description1

2.0 TI Response **1**

 2.1 Review of Information From 2007 to 20191

 2.2 Relevant Information From 2019 to 20242

 2.2.1 Highest Relevance3

 2.2.2 Moderate Relevance4

 2.2.3 Other5

 2.3 Suggested Experts.....5

References **6**

Biography **8**

1.0 TI Request

1.1 Inquiry

What is the quantity and transfer rate of DNA of wet and dry biological fluid stains (semen, blood, saliva, and vaginal fluid) on clothing and fabrics?

1.2 Description

There is a need to evaluate the amount of DNA and the transfer rate of DNA from one piece of fabric/clothing that has a wet and/or dry biological fluid stain to a piece of fabric/clothing that does not have staining. The biological fluids of inquiry are that of semen, blood, saliva, vaginal fluid, or other body fluids of forensic interest.

2.0 TI Response

In general, systematic studies characterizing the transfer rate of DNA from biological fluid between fabrics while taking a host of variables into account (e.g., individual shedder attributes, biological fluid type, dryness of the fluid, fabric composition/porosity, transfer method/friction/pressure, time, environmental conditions, and sampling and detection techniques) appear lacking. A review article by Gosch and Courts (2019) asserts [1]:

There is, to the present date, an extensive and complex body of literature on primary, secondary, tertiary and even higher order DNA transfer, its possibility, plausibility, dependency on an array of variables and factors and vast numbers of permutations thereof. However, from our point of view there is a lack of systematic data on DNA transfer with existing research widely varying in quality and relevance.

This 4-hour literature scan points to sources that have either compiled available information or recent, individual studies. The time limit for this literature scan and expert search was not sufficient to comprehensively identify all sources relevant to this question.

2.1 Review of Information From 2007 to 2019

Shelia Willis, a guest researcher at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and former director general of Forensic Science Ireland, delivered a presentation in February 2019 that summarized literature on DNA transfer at crime scenes [2]. The presentation reviews a number of factors (inter- and intra-individual variation in propensity to shed DNA; DNA

cellular/fluid source; time since deposit; environmental conditions; presence of background DNA; type of substrate; moisture level, pressure, and friction during original and subsequent transfers; and impact of collection and DNA extraction techniques). Few studies cited appear to focus specifically on secondary transfer of body fluids between fabrics, but slide #15 of the presentation highlights an article on DNA transfer (or lack of detectable transfer) in laundry machines for blood stains, saliva, and epithelial abrasions [3]. Other biological fluids (not necessarily transferred between fabrics) discussed in the presentation include sweat and sebaceous fluid. Papers referenced in the presentation, including reviews, may include additional information.

Chapter 5 of “DNA Mixture Interpretation: A NIST Scientific Foundation Review” (2021) broadly surveys variables affecting DNA mixture interpretation [4]. This chapter contains a table (pp. 103–112) of limited studies (circa 2007–2018) that may be of interest; these studies consider the transfer of biological materials or fluids on cotton, fabric, or other substrates. Some studies explore effects of drying or washing/soaking on persistence of DNA from biological fluid sources. Subsequent discussion in Chapter 5 also cites articles of interest, but these were not reviewed due to time limitations.

2.2 Relevant Information From 2019 to 2024

Since the previously noted resources survey literature from circa 2007 to 2019, literature databases were searched for combinations of terms like DNA, transfer, biological fluid, fabric, laundry, semen, blood, vaginal, saliva, urine, tear, sweat, etc., with search results restricted to articles published in 2019 or later. The first group of articles (Section 2.2.1 Highest Relevance) appears directly relevant to the question. The second group (Section 2.2.2 Moderate Relevance) addresses biological fluid transfers between at least one fabric and another substrate. A third group (Section 2.2.3 Other) contains information that might be of interest but is not directly related to the question.

It should be noted that articles examining persistence, prevalence, and recovery of DNA from substrates without a fabric transfer element were ignored (e.g., several publications compare methods of extracting/recovering biological DNA from fluid stains on fabric without prior transfer between fabrics; these studies were not considered). Touch-DNA transfer studies were ignored because they were assumed to focus on transfer of epithelial cells and not biological fluids (e.g., sweat). The author did not have access to full literature and was unable to view articles that were not open source.

2.2.1 Highest Relevance

The following lists literature with the highest relevance to the question presented.

- “On DNA Transfer: The Lack and Difficulty of Systematic Research and How to Do It Better,” by A. Gosch and C. Courts (2019) [1]: This publication offers (1) a current review and critique of DNA transfer research, (2) proposals for guidelines to improve and systematize research, and (3) an introduction of a comprehensive and searchable DNA transfer database.
- Excerpt from “Detectability of Bloodstains After Machine Washing,” by M. Hofmann et al. (2019) [5]:

Blood can also be transmitted to previously blood-free textiles during the washing process, leading to a positive Luminol or Combur® reaction of these samples.

- Excerpt from “Indirect DNA Transfer Without Contact From Dried Biological Materials on Various Surfaces,” by D. Thornbury, M. Goray, and R. A. H. van Oorschot (2021) [6]: This study investigates the drying properties and indirect DNA transfer of dried blood, saliva, semen, vaginal fluid, and touch DNA without contact:

...deposited on two different non-porous hard substrates (melamine and glass) and two different porous soft substrates (polyester and cotton) by tapping (all substrates) and stretching (only fabric substrates) agitations.

- Excerpt from “The Effect of Dry-Cleaning and Laundering on the Visualization and Enhancement of Blood Spatter and Transfer Stains on Clothing,” by K. Tanner et al. (2021) [7]: This article does not use molecular detection techniques.

Spatter and transfer stains that were created on various fabrics were individually laundered or dry-cleaned.

- Excerpt from “Transfer, Persistence and Recovery of DNA and mRNA Vaginal Mucosa Markers After Intimate and Social Contact With Bayesian Network Analysis for Activity Level Reporting,” by H. Johannessen et al. (2022) [8]:

In this paper we study transfer and persistence of mRNA vaginal mucosa markers (MUC4, MYOZ1 and CYP2B7P1) collected from boxershorts,

fingernail and penile swabs by 12 couples after intimate contact at different time points ranging from 0 to 36 [hours].

- Excerpt from “Evaluation of Indirect Transfer Mechanisms of Semen Under Varying Test Conditions,” by Finnis et al. (2024) [9]:

Semen transfers more readily when wet than dry. Semen transfers more readily from a non-porous primary surface than from a porous primary surface. Examination findings can assist in determining whether a stain was wet or dry when a transfer occurred.

2.2.2 Moderate Relevance

The following lists literature with moderate relevance to the question presented.

- Excerpt from “Unintentional Effects of Cleaning a Crime Scene—When the Sponge Becomes an Accomplice in DNA Transfer,” by J. Helmus et al. (2019) [10]:

The aim of this study was to investigate whether DNA traces could be distributed by cleaning an object. For this purpose, a large table surface and fabric piece were artificially provided with skin contact traces and body fluids (saliva and blood) in two series of experiments and then wiped off with water or with soap water (218 samples in total). These experiments resulted in a clear “carry over” of DNA traces especially for body fluid samples (100% of blood samples and 75% of saliva samples led to a complete profile).

- Excerpt from “Transfer of DNA Without Contact From Used Clothing, Pillowcases and Towels by Shaking Agitation,” by D. Thornbury, M. Goray, and R. A. H. van Oorschot (2021) [11]: Shaking fabric (used clothing, pillowcases, and towels) is enough to transfer DNA:

...at levels sufficient to produce informative profiles, and the profiles of the transferred DNA closely resemble that of the primary item.

- “DNA Transfer in Forensic Science: Recent Progress Towards Meeting Challenges,” by R. A. H. van Oorschot et al. (2021) [12]: This review article summarizes studies about DNA persistence after laundering and redistribution from cleaning surfaces.

- Excerpt from “DNA Transfer Between Worn Clothing and Flooring Surfaces With Known Histories of Use,” by J. B. Reither, R. A. H. van Oorschot, and B. Szkuta (2022) [13]:

Bi-directional transfer between clothing and flooring was observed and occasions of DNA transfer increased with the application of pressure and friction.

- “Chapter 2—Using Conventional STR Technology in Analyzing Biological Transfer Evidence,” by J. T. McClintock (2023) [14]: This chapter appears to broadly review factors impinging on DNA transfer and mentions transfer of semen in laundry.

2.2.3 Other

The following lists literature that may have some relevance to the question presented.

- Excerpt from “Investigation of Direct and Indirect Transfer of Microbiomes Between Individuals,” by A. Neckovic et al. (2020) [15]: Potential for biological fluid stains to contain internal microbiomes or acquire host skin microbiome signatures was not investigated in this literature scan but may constitute another type of DNA to consider in future investigations.

This study examined direct and indirect transfer of skin microbiomes between individuals.

- Excerpt from “Forensic DNA Profiling of Tears Stains From Commonly Encountered Substrates,” by R. Aparna et al. (2021) [16]. This article does not discuss transfer, but, because tears as a class of forensic biological fluids appear to be less studied, this article merits a mention.

Fabric, tissue paper & contact lenses are good substrates for DNA retention.

2.3 Suggested Experts

Based on a cursory scan of paper authors, a number of investigators—in addition to university affiliations—appear to be working in conjunction with the Office of the Chief Forensic Scientist in the Victoria Police Forensic Services Department in Macleod, Australia. The resources and contact sections of the police department’s webpage may be of interest [17]. Corresponding authors of the previously listed publications may also offer additional information per request.

References

- [1] Gosch, A., and C. Courts. "On DNA transfer: The Lack and Difficulty of Systematic Research and How to Do It Better." *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, vol. 40, pp. 24–36, May 2019.
- [2] Willis, S. "Things We Know From Transfer Studies." AAFS 2019 Workshop #10, DNA Mixture Interpretation Principles: Observations From a NIST Scientific Foundation Review, Baltimore, MD, 18 February 2019.
- [3] Kamphausen, T., S. B. Fandel, J. S. Gutmann, T. Bajanowski, and M. Poetsch. "Everything Clean? Transfer of DNA Traces Between Textiles in the Washtub." *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, vol. 129, no. 4, pp. 709–714, July 2015.
- [4] Butler, J. M., H. Iyer, R. Press, M. K. Taylor, P. M. Vallone, and S. Willis. "DNA Mixture Interpretation: A NIST Scientific Foundation Review." NISTIR 8351-DRAFT, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, MD, June 2021.
- [5] Hofmann, M., J. Adamec, K. Anslinger, B. Bayer, M. Graw, O. Peschel, and M. M. Schulz. "Detectability of Bloodstains After Machine Washing." *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 3–16, January 2019.
- [6] Thornbury, D., M. Goray, and R. A. H. van Oorschot. "Indirect DNA Transfer Without Contact From Dried Biological Materials on Various Surfaces." *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, vol. 51, pp. 102457, March 2021.
- [7] Tanner, K., A. Lowe, S. Polacco, and J. Cahn. "The Effect of Dry-Cleaning and Laundering on the Visualization and Enhancement of Blood Spatter and Transfer Stains on Clothing." *Journal of Forensic Identification*, vol. 71, issue 1, pp. 61–83, January 2021.
- [8] Johannessen, H., P. Gill, G. Shanthan, and A. E. Fonneløp. "Transfer, Persistence and Recovery of DNA and mRNA Vaginal Mucosa Markers After Intimate and Social Contact With Bayesian Network Analysis for Activity Level Reporting." *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, vol. 60, pp. 102750, September 2022.

- [9] Finnis, J., G. Davidson, K. Alexander, J. Lewis, M. Boyce, F. Kennedy, D. Casey, N. Clayson, I. Fraser, C. Murphy, C. Hargreaves, N. Stevenson, S. Doole, and C. Rogers. “Evaluation of Indirect Transfer Mechanisms of Semen Under Varying Test Conditions.” *Science & Justice*, vol. 64, issue 1, pp. 95–103, January 2024.
- [10] Helmus, J., M. Pfeifer, L.-K. Feiner, L. J. Krause, T. Bajanowski, and M. Poetsch. “Unintentional Effects of Cleaning a Crime Scene—When the Sponge Becomes an Accomplice in DNA Transfer.” *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 759–765, May 2019.
- [11] Thornbury, D., M. Goray, and R. A. H. van Oorschot. “Transfer of DNA Without Contact From Used Clothing, Pillowcases and Towels by Shaking Agitation.” *Science & Justice*, vol. 61, issue 6, pp. 797–805, November 2021.
- [12] van Oorschot, R. A. H., G. E. Meakin, B. Kokshoorn, M. Goray, and B. Szkuta. “DNA Transfer in Forensic Science: Recent Progress Towards Meeting Challenges.” *Genes*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1766, November 2021.
- [13] Reither, J. B., R. A. H. van Oorschot, and B. Szkuta. “DNA Transfer Between Worn Clothing and Flooring Surfaces With Known Histories of Use.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, vol. 61, pp. 102765, November 2022.
- [14] McClintock, J. T. “Chapter 2—Using Conventional STR Technology in Analyzing Biological Transfer Evidence.” *Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Technology in DNA Analysis*, pp.21–34, edited by H. R. Dash, K. M. Elkins, and N. R. Al-Snan, Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, 30 November 2023.
- [15] Neckovic, A., R. A. H. van Oorschot, B. Szkuta, and A. Durdle. “Investigation of Direct and Indirect Transfer of Microbiomes Between Individuals.” *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, vol. 45, pp. 102212, March 2020.
- [16] Aparna, R., R. S. Iyer, N. Kumar, and A. Sharma. “Forensic DNA Profiling of Tears Stains From Commonly Encountered Substrates.” *Forensic Science International*, vol. 328., pp. 111006, November 2021.
- [17] Victoria Police. “Forensic Services.” *Victoria Police*, <https://www.police.vic.gov.au/forensic-services#areas-of-forensic-science>, accessed 7 March 2024.

Biography

Holly Holt: At the time of this report's publication, Dr. Holly Holt was a research associate in Oak Ridge Associated University's Research Services group. Dr. Holt has a background in entomology, molecular biology, and scientific writing.